ELITES NETWORK FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT



(ENCTSUD) CAC/IT/NO 109900 (Motto: Unity for Progress) Website: www.enetsud.org Email: info@enetsud.org Phone: +2348067509458

Our Ref: ENetSuD/KWSG/01/27

Date: 20th October, 2020

His Excellency, Alhaji AbdulRahman AbdulRazaq, The Executive Governor of Kwara State, Government House, Ilorin. Kwara State.

Through: SSA to the Governor on Monitoring and Evaluation, Room 27/28 Ministry of Finance and Planning, GRA, Ilorin.

Dear Sir,

PRELIMINARY SOCIAL AUDIT REPORT ON THE COMPREHENSIVE REHABILITATION / RECONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL FACILITIES AT GOVERNMENT HIGH SCHOOL, ILORIN WEST LGA, KWARA STATE

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BACKGROUND

Your Excellency may wish to recall our memos ENetSuD/KWSG/01/24 and ENetSuD/KWSG/01/25 dated 27th July, 2020 and 31st July, 2020 respectively on the Social Audit program initiated and proposed by your administration, which has ENetSuD as the first CSO in the State to execute it in the public interest. In our memos, we requested for some financial and technical details on some projects, including the above-named. Following your kind approval, we received the Bill of Quantities (BoQs) from your focal person (SSA Monitoring and Evaluation) on the project (and others) on 31st August, 2020 via a memo SSA/MnE/ENetSuD/0001.

Your Excellency may also wish to recall our Audit report ENetSuD/KWSG/01/26 on the College of Education Road, Ilorin, project. We are pleased to inform you that we have carried out the first phase of the audit of the Government High School (GHS) project on 16th September, 2020 (in the presence of the contractor's representative) and provide you with an interim report accordingly.

SUMMARY OF THE BOQs

- The project has 2 LOTS. The LOT 1 has 16 components (Table 1) while LOT 2 has 17 components (Table 2).
- The total contract sum of the project is N 361,957,438.82, which is derived from the addition of the sum for LOT 1 (N 185,122,121.20) and LOT 2 (N 176,835,317.62).

GENERAL OBSERVATIONS OF ENetSuD

- The Alumni Association of different sets had carried out some renovation works in the GHS even before the KWSG-funded renovation was commenced by the contractor. A detailed inventory of their previous works is needed to ascertain the particular jobs truly done by the contractor. This will be given an adequate attention in our final report if the details are made available.
- 2) The majority of the visible works done at the GHS were roofing and painting.
- 3) The contractor did not follow the proper sequence of building construction work as the work was done haphazardly, which shows that there was no supervision of the work by the relevant Ministry.
- 4) PVC ceiling was used by the contractor instead of the asbestos cement ceiling specified in the BOQ. The PVC is cheaper, less durable and does not resist fire when compared to the asbestos.
- 5) Metal windows were used in some of the buildings instead of louvres specified in the BoQ. Where metal windows existed before the contract execution, the contractor reused them and the doors and repainted them only without providing a new one as provided in the BoQ.
- 6) Where louvre windows were used, some louvre blades were missing.
- 7) Softwoods were used for the window and door frames, which have already been attacked by termites.
- 8) The painting of walls ought to be preceded by preparatory steps to remedy the existing wall defects but such basic and mandatory steps were not carried out. Moreover, painting was already done on buildings where works were still ongoing, whereas painting is supposed to be the last stage of the construction works. Thus, the painting works are not professionally acceptable.
- 9) The eaves of the roofs were not properly fixed.
- 10) The preliminary bills are the same for most of the buildings, which implies that the Quantity Surveyor did not visit the site before preparing the BoQs.

ENetSuD CONCLUSIONS

- The level of works done at GHS by the contractor is not due for our quantitative (cost) evaluation as the project is still ongoing. This preliminary report summarises our interim assessment of the works. When the project is completed and handed over by the contractor to the KWSG, we shall (again) do our final Audit on it to give rise to quantitative and qualitative assessments that will be more comprehensive than the current one. Our final report will carefully differentiate the new works done by the contractor from the previous works done by the Alumni Association.
- 2. The general assessment of our audit shows that the project execution is poor and may not pass our final qualitative and quantitative tests unless the contractor and the supervising Ministry make the necessary adjustments before its completion. For instance, many items provided in the BoQ were not done by the contractor, while those that the contractor did are of low quality. It is our hope that there will be improvement before the contract is completed and handed over, as we are interested in value for taxpayers' money.
- 3. Audit of ongoing (not yet completed) projects like the GHS is the best practice that will prevent the execution of substandard jobs and waste of taxpayers' money, as it avails us the opportunity of monitoring the works even before completion. Though it is more expensive for ENetSuD that will have to audit a single project many times, the impact of the audit on Kwara state is worth our expenses.

We attached herewith the full report (11 pages) of our interim assessment of the GHS project for your further information and attention, Your Excellency.

FOR PROG

ALAGBONSI Abdullateef, Ph.D. Coordinator

FULL REPORT

1. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 1): RENOVATION OF EXAMINATION HALL (JUNIOR)

- The roof covering was completed as seen at the site, but we could not ascertain whether it was up to the gauge 0.55 mm as specified in the BoQ. We shall gauge the roof in our final assessment.
- New piers (about 8 nos) were constructed.
- The existing cement floor was changed to terrazzo but not well-polished.
- PVC was used for the ceiling.
- Doors and windows were not replaced. The existing ones were only repainted contrary to the provisions in the BoQ.
- No visible plumbing work was done, but some wiring and fittings were incompletely done.
- 8 fans were provided.

2. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 2): RENOVATION OF COMPUTER ROOM

- One of the computer rooms were recently renovated by the Federal Government.
- The roof covering was completed as seen at the site, but we could not ascertain whether it was up to the gauge 0.55 mm as specified in the BoQ. We shall gauge the roof in our final assessment.
- The ceilings were not yet replaced as at the time of our visit. Existing roof was met on site.
- Both the internal and external walls were not properly treated before applying the paint as specified in the BoQ. The painting was not even completed yet.
- Doors and windows were not replaced. The existing ones were only repainted contrary to the provisions in the BoQ.
- Nothing was done on the plumbing and electrical works.
- Painting work was shown to have been completed, but it should not have been done as the walls were not properly treated before the application of the paint.

3. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 3): RENOVATION OF LABORATORY BLOCK (BIO, PHY, CHEM AND AGRIC II)

- The roof covering was completed as seen at the site, but we could not ascertain whether it was up to the gauge 0.55 mm as specified in the BoQ. We shall gauge the roof in our final assessment.
- PVC ceilings were used instead of Asbestos specified in the BoQ.
- Both the internal and external walls were not properly treated before applying the pain as specified in the BoQ.

- The Agric (terrazzo), Biology (terrazzo), Chemistry (terrazzo), and Physics (tiles) lab floors were done, but the terrazzo floors were not well-polished.
- Wiring was done, but not completed. Bulb, fan and some fittings were absent.
- The chalkboard was not done yet at the Physics and Agric labs, but the chalkboard at the Chemistry and Biology labs was an already existing one.
- Painting work was shown to have been completed, but it should not have been done as the walls were not properly treated before the application of the paint.

4. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 4): RENOVATION OF INTROTECH WORKSHOP

- The Introtech workshop was entirely built newly.
- The roof covering was completed as seen at the site, but we could not ascertain whether it was up to the gauge 0.55 mm as specified in the BoQ. We shall gauge the roof in our final assessment.
- PVC ceilings were used instead of Asbestos specified in the BoQ.
- Both the internal and external walls were fairly treated before applying the paint.
- Doors and windows were replaced.
- Louvres were complete in the first workshop while 2 nos was missing in the second workshop.
- No visible plumbing work was done.
- Wiring was done, but no bulb and fan were seen.
- Painting work was done on a neatly plastered wall.

5. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 5): RENOVATION OF JSS III BLOCK (KW/SESP/SDS/SBMC/2010)

- The roof covering was completed as seen at the site, but we could not ascertain whether it was up to the gauge 0.55 mm as specified in the BoQ. We shall gauge the roof in our final assessment.
- PVC ceilings were used instead of Asbestos specified in the BoQ. The PVC is already giving ways.
- Both the internal and external walls were not properly treated before applying the paint as specified in the BoQ.
- The existing terrazzo floor was retained while a small damaged portion was repaired. The floors were not properly polished.
- Doors and windows were not replaced. The existing ones were only repainted contrary to the provisions for replacement in the BoQ.
- Skeletal electrification work like wiring was done, but not completed. Bulb, fan and some fittings were not found.

• Painting work was shown to have been completed, but it should not have been done as the walls were not properly treated before the application of the paints.

6. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 6): RENOVATION OF JSS ONE BLOCK (2 CLASSROOMS)

- The roof covering was completed as seen at the site, but we could not ascertain whether it was up to the gauge 0.55 mm as specified in the BoQ. We shall gauge the roof in our final assessment.
- No ceiling was replaced.
- Both the internal and external walls were not properly treated before applying the paint as specified in the BoQ.
- The existing floors, both internal and external, were not properly polished.
- Doors and windows were not replaced.
- Wiring work was done, but not completed. Bulbs, fans and some fittings were missing.
- Painting work was shown to have been completed, but it should not have been done as the walls were not properly treated before the application of the paints.
- 7. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 7): RENOVATION OF JSS 1 BLOCK 2 LABORATORY BLOCK (PHY, CHEM & AGRIC)
 - The blockwork was at 100% completion.
 - The roof work was at 90% completion.
 - The roof covering was completed as seen at the site, but we could not ascertain whether it was up to the gauge 0.55 mm as specified in the BoQ. We shall gauge the roof in our final assessment.
 - Some works needed to be done on the roof to avoid future leakages. For instance, some eaves were open while some roof covering were not properly fixed.
 - The louvre windows were provided at 80% completion.
 - Window frames were not treated and as such, they were already attacked by termites.
 - Softwood was used for the windows' frame instead of hardwood specified in the BoQ.
 - No fixing of burglaries and window nets was done contrary to the specification in BoQ.
 - There was an improper installation of some louvre windows.
 - The doors were at 90% completion, though there were already existing doors that were only repainted.
 - Ten (10) nos. of metals doors were available at the site, while 14 numbers were specified in the BoQ (shortage of 4 doors at the site).

- The electrical works were at 30% completion. There was no fan and bulb. Only the wiring of the cables had been done.
- Internal and external floor finishing was not yet completed as there were still some works yet to be done. Even the newly constructed cement floor had started cracking.
- Internal and external wall finishing was not yet completed.
- Internal and external ceiling finishing was at 95% completion, but PVC ceiling materials were used (which is cheaper and less durable when compared to the cement asbestos ceiling board specified in the BoQ).
- Nail and batten (specified in the BoQ) weren't used for ceiling because PVC was used.
- Treated hardwood noggins of 50 by 50 mm were not ascertained in the internal ceiling because they had already been covered by the PVC ceiling.
- Internal and external paintings were at 90% completion.
- The emulsion paint was used for internal and external finishing as specified. However, we speculated that the number of coats specified in the BoQ was not applied.
- No painting of ceiling board was done internally and externally since PVC was used instead of the asbestos specified in the BoQ.

8. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 8): RENOVATION OF JSS BLOCK OF CLASSROOMS (UBE/SPECIAL PROJECT 2012)

- The blockwork was at 90% completion.
- The contractor did not construct any buttress wall as at the time of the site visit.
- The roof work was at 90% completion. OR PRO
- PVC was used for the ceiling instead of asbestos.
- The roof covering was completed as seen at the site, but we could not ascertain whether it was up to the gauge 0.55 mm as specified in the BoQ. We shall gauge the roof in our final assessment.
- Some works need to be done on the roof to avoid future leakages.
- The windows were at 90% completion.
- The contractor used existing metal windows instead of louvre windows as specified in the BoQ
- There was no fixing of security burglaries and window mosquito net, contrary to the provisions of the BoQ.
- The doors were at 90% completion, though the existing ones were repainted and reused. Moreover, some doors needed to be repaired.

- The existing terrazzo floor was maintained.
- The electrical works were at 80% completion.
- ELCB was available and the cable wiring had been fixed. However, lighting bulbs, ceiling fans, 13A sockets, and controls were not available.

9. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 9): RENOVATION OF JSS BLOCK OF CLASSROOMS (50 YEARS 1982 SET)

- The blockwork was at 100% completion.
- The roof work was at 80% completion. Moreover, some works still needed to be done on the roof to avoid future leakages. We could not ascertain whether it was up to the gauge 0.55 mm as specified in the BoQ. We shall gauge the roof in our final assessment.
- The contractor used existing metal windows instead of louvre windows specified in the BoQ. Some old windows were re-painted, some were not fixed.
- There was no fixing of new security burglaries (existing ones were retained). There was no window mosquito net as specified in the BoQ.
- The existing metal doors were used and repainted, no new one was provided.
- The electrical work was not done.
- Floor finishing (internal and external) was at 90% completion.
- The existing terrazzo floors in 2 classrooms were retained. Nothing was done on the other 2 classrooms with cement floor, which were existing but damaged.
- The ceiling finishing works were yet to be done. The woods were left without ceiling yet.
- The painting work was at 50% completion.
- The emulsion paint was used for internal and external finishing as specified; however, we speculated that the number of coats specified was not applied.
- The quality of the paint was very poor.

10. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 10): RENOVATION OF JSS 1 BLOCK 2

• We were told by the contractor that this block was joined with the JSS block (50 years 1982 set). The BoQ of these buildings ought not to be different because they are both in a twin flat, one side is for the JSS 1 block whole the other side is for the JSS block (50 years 1982 set).

11. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 11): RENOVATION OF BLOCK OF 2 CLASSROOMS (UBE/SUBEB/2008, JSS II)

• The blockwork was at 90% completion.

- The roof work was at 90% completion. Some works needed to be done on the roof to avoid future leakages. We could not ascertain whether it was up to the gauge 0.55 mm as specified in the BoQ. We shall gauge the roof in our final assessment.
- The window works were at 60% completion.
- The contractor used existing metal windows instead of louvre windows as specified in the BoQ.
- There was no fixing of security burglaries and window mosquito net contrary to the provisions in the BoQ.
- The doors were at 70% completion. Some doors need to be repaired.
- The electrification works were at 60% completion. The ELCB was available.
- 15 nos lighting bulbs were available on the site, contrary to the 21 nos. specified in the BoQ.
- No ceiling fan and control was found.
- 6 nos of 13A sockets was on site as against 10 nos. specified in the BoQ.
- Floor finishing was at 90% completion.
- The existing terrazzo floors were retained.
- PVC ceilings were used as against the cement asbestos ceiling specified in the BoQ.
- The existing black chalkboard was available, but repainted.
- The painting work was at 95% completion.
- The emulsion paint was used for internal finishing as specified; however, we speculated that the number of coats specified was not applied.
- There was no fixing of ceiling board because PVC was used.

12. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 12): RENOVATION OF A BLOCK OF 4 CLASSROOMS

- The blockwork was at 100% completion.
- The roof work was at 95% completion. Some works still needed to be done on the roofing to avoid future leakages. We could not ascertain whether it was up to the gauge 0.55 mm as specified in the BoQ. We shall gauge the roof in our final assessment.
- The windows were at 85% completion.
- The contractor used existing metal windows instead of louvre windows specified in the BoQ, though more durable than the louvres specified. However, there is a need to value for variation to gain some cost savings.
- There was no fixing of security burglaries and window mosquito nets, contrary to the specification of the BoQ.
- The doors were at 60% completion. Some doors need to be fixed.

- The electrical works were at 50% completion. ELCB was available, but the lighting point, ceiling fans, controls and sockets were absent as at the time of the site visit.
- The floor finishing was at 40% completion.
- Floor screeding was done contrary to the terrazzo specified in the BoQ.
- PVC ceiling was used as against the cement asbestos ceiling specified in the BoQ.
- The ceiling finishing was partially completed, thus there were some works which needed to be done on the floor.
- The painting was at 60% completion. Emulsion paint was used for finishing as specified; however, it seems the number of coats specified was not applied.
- There was no painting of ceiling board at all because PVC was used instead of ceiling board specified for in the BoQ.

13. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 13): RENOVATION OF HOME ECONOMICS MANAGEMENT CENTER

- We met 8 m³ of head course of vibrated reinforced in-situ concrete instead of 9 m³ provided in the BoQ.
- We met 2 m^2 of blockwork for walls instead of 9 m^2 provided in the BoQ.
- We met 58 m^2 of gable end walls was met on site instead of 78 m^2 provided in the BoQ.
- We met 70 pairs of window louvres on site instead of 75 pairs provided in the BoQ.
- We met 568 nos of glazing louvres on site instead of 844 nos provided in the BoQ.

14. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 14): RENOVATION OF A BLOCK OF 5 CLASSROOMS (UBE/SPECIAL PROJECT/2012)

- The 532 nos glazing louvres provided in the BoQ were not found on the site.
- We found 10 nos of doors instead of 18 nos provided in the BoQ.
- The estimated quantity of paints used for the work on site is not up to what was provided in the BoQ.

15. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 15): RENOVATION OF 4-WING QUADRANGLE SHAPED BLOCKS OF CLASSROOM (A)

- The quantity of blockwork done on site is less than the provision in the BoQ.
- An estimate of 345 m of eave angle was met on site instead of the 516 m provided in the BoQ.
- An estimate of 1,237 m² internal terrazzo floor was met on site instead of the 1,978 m² provided in the BoQ.

- An estimate of 359 m² external terrazzo floor was met on site instead of the 380 m² provided in the BoQ.
- An estimate of 635 m² external wall surface was painted on site instead of the 882 m² provided in the BoQ.

16. LOT 1 (COMPONENT 16): RENOVATION OF 4-WING QUADRANGLE SHAPED BLOCKS OF CLASSROOM (B)

• The project was still under renovation when we visited the site. So, it was not assessed.

17. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 1): REHABILITATION OF PRINCIPAL'S LODGE

• The project was still under renovation when we visited the site. So, it was not assessed.

18. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 2): RENOVATION OF 4-BEDROOM BUNDALOW

• The project was still under renovation when we visited the site. So, it was not assessed.

19. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 3): REHABILITATION OF 5 UNITS OF 3 BEDROOM FLAT

- The work was estimated to be at 5% completion.
- Only one out of the 5 units had been roofed.
- The contractor intended to renovate only one unit out of the 5 units, citing that only one unit was provided in the BoQ. This needs to be revisited.

20. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 4): RENOVATION OF 3 UNITS OF 2 BEDROOM FLAT

- The work was estimated to be at 5% completion.
- Only one out of the 3 quarters have been roofed.
- The contractor also intended to renovate only one out of the 3 units.

21. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 5): RENOVATION OF GIRLS' HOSTEL

- The work was estimated to be at 8% completion.
- The roof covering was completed as seen at the site, but we could not ascertain whether it was up to the gauge 0.55 mm as specified in the BoQ. We shall gauge the roof in our final assessment.
- The leaking parts of the roofs needed to be repaired.
- Plastering work was not yet completed.

22. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 6): RENOVATION OF BOYS' HOSTEL

- The roofing was done but there was a need to monitor the roof for leakages, especially at the entrance door area.
- The work was estimated to be at 15% completion.
- The entrance door was removed but not replaced.
- About 40% of the louvre blade was present. There was also no mosquito net.

- PVC was used for the ceiling (although incomplete) instead of the asbestos provided in the BoQ.
- Only one block was installed with PVC.
- Internal wall painting was estimated to be at 30% completion while the external painting was completed. The walls were not properly treated before applying paint.
- The electrification work was not completed.

23. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 7): RENOVATION OF 12 NOS OF EXISTING TOILETS

- The work was estimated to be at 10% completion.
- The roof covering was completed.
- Hacking and plastering of the walls were in progress.

24. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 8): RECONSTRUCTION OF THE WHOLE FENCE WORK

- The total fence constructed was 1961.5m
- About 787.2 m block work was constructed, plastered, coped and painted.
- About 368.3 m block work was constructed, plastered, coped but not painted.
- About 806 m block work was constructed, but not plastered, not coped and not painted.

25. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 9): EXTENSION OF WATERPIPES TO TOILETS

• This was not yet done.

26. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 10): CONSTRUCTION OF HANDBALL COURTS

• This project was yet to commence.

27. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 11): PROVISION OF WIRE NET FENCE TO BASKETBALL COURT

This project was yet to commence.

28. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 12): REHABILITATION OF NIGER BASIN AUTHORITY BOREHOLE AND STEEL TANK

• There was a new borehole drilled beside the existing Lower Niger River Basin overhead tank. However, this borehole was not yet completed.

29. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 13): CONSTRUCTION OF TOILETS

- This project was not yet completed.
- Some of the doors installed in the toilets have started rusting.

30. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 14): PROVISION OF SPORTS FACILITY

• This project was yet to commence.

31. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 15): PROVISION OF EXTERNAL SECURITY LIGHTS WITHIN THE SCHOOL

• This project was yet to commence.

32. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 16): CULVERTS AND DRAINAGE CONSTRUCTION

• Work was yet to commence on the culverts and drainage.

33. LOT 2 (COMPONENT 17): PROVISION OF CAR PARKS

• This project was yet to commence.

TABLE 1: BILL QUANTITIES FOR THE COMPREHENSIVE REHABILITATION /RECONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL FACILITIES AT GOVERNMENT HIGH SCHOOL,

ILORIN (LOT 1)

SUSTAINA

S/N	DESCRIPTION OF THE WORK	AMOUNT
1	EXAMINATION HALL	10,617,084.60
2	COMPUTER ROOM	3,033,767.50
3	LABORATORY BLOCK (BIO, PHY, CHEM AND AGRIC II)	11,401,063.81
4	INTRO TECH, WORKSHOP 🖉	5,456,318
5	JSS III BLOCK (KW/SESP/SDS/SBMC/2010)	8,725,718.19
6	JSS1 BLOCK	4,212,856.96
7	JSS1 BLOCK 2 LAB (PHY, CHEM & AGRIC)	11,531,622.43
8	JSS BLOCK (UBE/SPECIAL PROJECT 2012)	7,697,270.72
9	JSS (50 YEARS 1982 SET)	7,238,566.37
10	JSS1 BLOCK 2	4,214,803.95
11	BLOCK UBE/SUBEB/2008	6,770,199.51
12	A BLOCK OF 4 CLASSROOMS	9,992,014.83
	HOME MANAGEMENT CENTER/BLOCK	
	- A block of classroom with attached office	-
	- Home management lab with attached preparatory room	
13	- A block for housing accommodation	12,569,367.42
14	A BLOCK OF 5 CLASSROOMS (UBE/SPECIAL PROJECT 2012)	8,490,378.11
15	4 WINGS QUADRANGLE SHAPED BLOCKS OF CLASSROOM (A)	35,650,294.29
16	4 WINGS QUADRANGLE SHAPED BLOCKS OF CLASSROOM (B)	37,271,629.59
	SUBTOTAL	184,872,956.28
	GENERAL CONTINGENCY	
	GENERAL PRELIMINARY	249,164.92
	MONITORING, INSPECTION AND EVALUATION	
	TOTAL	185,122,121.20

TABLE 2: BILL QUANTITIES FOR THE COMPREHENSIVE REHABILITATION /RECONSTRUCTION OF ADDITIONAL FACILITIES AT GOVERNMENT HIGH SCHOOL,ILORIN (LOT 2)

S/N	DESCRIPTION OF THE WORK	AMOUNT
1	PRINCIPAL LODGE	14,930,430.00
2	4 BEDROOMS FLAT	11,114,995.46
	5 no 3 BEDROOMS FLAT	10,424,313.18
4	2 no 2 BEDROOMS FLAT	4,457,850
5	GIRLS HOSTEL	32,307,039.00
6	BOYS HOSTEL	32,183,765.01
7	12 NOS TOILET BUILDING	3,567,231.47
8	RECONSTRUCTION OF THE WHOLE FENCE WORK	42,579,638.00
9	EXTENSION OF WATER PIPES TO TOILETS	350,000.00
10	CONSTRUCTION OF HANDBALL COURT	730,000.00
11	PROVISION OF WIRE NET FENCE TO BASKETBALL COURT	768,400.00
	REHABILITATION AT NIGER BASIN AUTHORITY	
12	BORE-HOLE AND STEEL WATER STORAGE TANK	3,850,400.00
13	CONSTRUCTION OF TOILETS	6,963,308.60
14	PROVISION SPORT FACILITIES	1,985,636.00
15	PROVISION OF EXTERNAL LIGHTS WITHIN THE SCHOOL	1,983,150.00
16	CULVERTS & DRAINAGE CONSTRUCTION	5,768,749.10
17	PROVISION OF CAR PARKS (6 CAR PARKS) (3 no Places)	370,411.80
	SUBTOTAL	174,335,317.62
	GENERAL CONTINGENCY	1,000,000.00
	GENERAL PRELIMINARY	1,000,000
	MONITORING, INSPECTION AND EVALUATION	500,000
	TOTAL	176,835,317.62

ALAGBONSI Abdullateef, Ph.D. Coordinator