ELITES NETWORK FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT



(ENCTSUD) CAC/IT/NO 109900

(Motto: Unity for Progress)

Website: www.enetsud.org Email: info@enetsud.org Phone: +2348067509458

Date: 17th September, 2020

Our Ref: ENetSuD/KWSG/01/26

His Excellency, Alhaji AbdulRahman AbdulRazaq, The Executive Governor of Kwara State, Government House, Ilorin. Kwara State.

Through:

SSA to the Governor on Monitoring and Evaluation. Rm 27/28 Ministry of Finance and Planning, GRA, Ilorin.

Dear Sir,

SOCIAL AUDIT REPORT ON THE REHABILITATION PROJECT OF COLLEGE OF EDUCATION ROAD IN ILORIN, KWARA STATE

BACKGROUND

Your Excellency may wish to recall our memos ENetSuD/KWSG/01/24 and ENetSuD/KWSG/01/25 dated 27th July, 2020 and 31st July, 2020 respectively on the Social Audit program initiated and proposed by your administration, which has ENetSuD as the first CSO in the State to execute it in the public interest. In our memos, we requested for some financial and technical details on some projects including the Rehabilitation of College of Education Road in Ilorin. Following your kind approval, we received the Bill of Engineering Measurement and Evaluation (BEME) from your focal person (SSA Monitoring and Evaluation) on the project (and others) on 31st August, 2020 via a memo SSA/MnE/ENetSuD/0001.

1

SUMMARY OF THE BEME

1) The BEME has 4 components: General (Bill 1), Earth Work (Bill 2), Culverts and Concrete Work

(Bill 3) and Road Work (Bill 4).

2) The Bill 1 amount is \$5,400,000. The Bill 2 is not quantified and rated, thus the sum is \$0. The

Bill 3 amount is $\times 4,080,300$, while the Bill 4 amount is $\times 48,000,000$. This means that the subtotal

of Bills 1-4 is ₹57,480,300.

3) The total contract sum of the project, derived from the addition of Bills 1-4 (N57,480,300) to the

5% contingency ($\times 2,874,015$) and 5% VAT ($\times 3,017,715.75$), is $\times 63,372,030:75$.

4) The length and width of the project is 800 m and 12.5 m respectively.

ENetSuD FINDINGS

Bill 1 is provisional and is not assessable after completion of work. Bill 2 is not originally quantified and

rated. Thus, our re-assessment was based on Bills 3 and 4 only (which are physically assessable on site).

Tables 1 and 2 below show the quantity of work expected to be done by the contractor and the real

quantity found on site by ENetSuD. The tables also show the real amount calculated by ENetSuD

(derived from multiplication of the real quantity met on site and the Ministry official rate for each item).

It is worthy of note that we used the same rate approved by the Ministry, and thus, the appropriateness

or validity of the unit rate used is beyond the scope of our evaluation since the contract award process

has been concluded.

1) The actual length of road that we found on the site is 696m, while the average width is 9.4m.

2) Due to the fact that we do not want to damage the road, the exact thickness of the compaction

could not be measured. Thus, we assumed that the 0.15m provided in the BEME was done by the

contractor.

3) As we were not there during the road construction, we assumed that the entire drainage length

was desilted by the contractor.

2

TABLE 1: CULVERTS, CANAL AND CONCRETE DRAIN (BILL 3)

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY ON	QUANTITY	UNIT	MINSTRY	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
		THE BILL	MET ON		OFFICIAL	PROVIDED	ESTIMATED
		EXPECTED EDOM THE	SITE BY		RATE (₹)	IN THE BILL	BY ENetSuD
		FROM THE CONTRACTOR	ENetSuD			BY THE MINISTRY (N)	(N)
3.01	Excavation for culverts and canal to	50	27	m^3	650	32,500	17,550
3.01	any depth including backing filling to		27	111	050	32,300	17,550
	100% B.S. Compaction and dispose of						
	surplus material as directed						
3.10	Provide mix place blinding (U-1800)	2	2	m ³	18,500	37,000	37,000
3.10	concrete 75mm to box culverts	2	2	III	18,300	37,000	37,000
2.11		2	2	3	27500	55,000	55.000
3.11	Provide, mix and place concrete U-	2	2	m ³	27500	55,000	55,000
	3000 for headwalls wing walls and						
	apron of box culverts and canal.		-	2	1.1.70	50.100	
3.12	Provide and fit wrought vertical	54	54	m ²	1,150	62,100	62,100
	shuttering to box culverts						
3.13	Provide and fit wrought horizontal	38	38	m ²	1,150	43,700	43,700
	shuttering to soffits of box culverts	R SUS	TAIN				
3.14	Provide, mix and place concrete U-	30	23	m^3	27,000	810,000	621,000
	1800 to slabs, wall and toe beams in	1		67			
	box culverts and canal.	•		00			
3.15	H.T reinforcement in box culvert	4	4	Ton	260,000	1,040,000	1,040,000
3.16	Demolish existing culverts. And failed	100	20	M	3,000	300,000	60,000
	Drainage						
3.18	Provide R.C. concrete drain	100	132	M	13,000	1,300,000	1,716,000
	0.6mX0.6m including excavation,				2		, ,
	shuttering and reinforcement (10mm)		A second		T		
	diameter size.	(0)		7 -	-/-		
3.23	Desilting of Drainage	800	696*	M	500	400,000	348,000
TOTA	AL FOR BILL 3			7. 7		4,080,300	4,000,350

^{*}Estimated based on the actual entire linear length of the road

Even though there are some discrepancies in some items, our findings show that the works in Bill 3 were fairly executed as the amount estimated by ENetSuD is very close to the amount provided in the Bill.

Thus, our report does not raise any serious concern on Bill 3.

TABLE 2: ROAD WORKS (BILL 4)

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY ON THE BILL EXPECTED FROM THE	QUANTITY MET ON SITE BY ENetSuD	UNIT	MINSTRY OFFICIAL RATE (₦)	AMOUNT PROVIDED IN THE BILL BY THE MINISTRY	AMOUNT ESTIMATED BY ENetSuD (₹)
4.01	Scarification and cartaway of existing failed bitumen surface	10000	6542.4	m ²	100	1,000,000	654,240
4.02	Scarify, shape and compact formation in cut and fill area to 100% B.S. compaction as sub-graded	10,000	6542.4	m ²	50	500,000	327,120
4.03	Provide, shape and compact naturally occurring laterite material 150mm thick as subbase	1,500	981.36# SUSTAIN	m ³	1,500	2,250,000	1,472,040
4.05	Provide, shape and compact naturally occurring laterite material 150mm thick as base and shoulders	1,500	981.36#	m ³	1,500	2,250,000	1,472,040
4.07	Provide and lay prime coat of MC I cut back at 1.01 litre per sq.m and blind with sand or quarry fine s.q.	10,000	6542.4	m ²	300	3,000,000	1,962,720
4.11	Provide tack coat	10,000	6542.4	m ²	100	1,000,000	654,240
4.13	Provide and lay asphaltic concrete wearing course (4c.m thick after compaction)@	10,000	6542.4	m ²	3,800	38,000,000	24,861,120
TOTAL FOR BILL 4			FOR PRO	O.		48,000,000	31,403,520

[®]The asphalt on the road is less than 3c.m instead of 4 c.m provided in the Bill, though neither 3c.m nor 4c.m was used in the quantity (m2) calculation by us or the Ministry respectively.

By our evaluation, the real value of work done as per Bill 4 is \(\frac{\mathbb{N}}{31,403,520}\) instead of the \(\frac{\mathbb{N}}{48,000,000}\) quoted in the BEME. Thus, the worth of the works in Bill 4 that the contractor \(\frac{\mathbb{did not do}}{\mathbb{do}}\) is equivalent to \(\frac{\mathbb{N}}{16,596,480}\) (as shortage to the people of Kwara state if 100% payment is made).

[#]The quantity was based on the assumption that the 0.15m thickness provided in the Bill was fully done by the contractor. Whether the compacted laterites used as the sub-base, base and shoulders were up to that thickness or not is beyond the scope of our evaluation.

ENetSuD CONCLUSIONS

1) Based on our Technical Measurement and Evaluation, the actual sum of Bills 3 and 4 is \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tinx}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tinx}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tinx}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texictex{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texictex{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texititt{\text{\texictex{\text{\texictex{\texictex{\texi}\text{\texi}\texi{\texit{\texititx}\tex{\texititt{\texititt{\texititt{\texitit{\texi{\ti}\texit{\

(derived from $\aleph4,000,350 + \aleph31,403,520$), which is contrary to the $\aleph52,080,300$ (sum of Bills 3 and

4) in the BEME.

2) The contractor has not fully executed the project as per the BEME and the financial worth of jobs

that were not done is \$16,676,430.

ENetSuD PRAYERS

As a Civil Society Organization (CSO) that primarily promotes good governance, transparency and

accountability through our #FollowKwaraMoney activities, we must support the government to ensure

that Kwara money works for Kwarans. Based on our aforementioned findings, Your Excellency is kindly

requested to consider and approve our recommendations as follow:

1) If the contractor has been fully paid the contract sum, the estimated excess of \text{\text{\text{N}}16,676,430} (for the

jobs not done on site) should be returned to the State government to save taxpayers' money.

2) If the contractor has not been fully paid, the government should ensure that the total payment for Bills

1-4 is equivalent to the real job done on the site, which is approximately $\pm 40,803,870$.

3) Notwithstanding the prayers (1) and (2) above, the contractor should be directed to return to the site

to add at least 1 c.m asphaltic concrete wearing course to the approximately 3 c.m already constructed

so as to complete the 4 c.m thickness approved in the BEME.

While hoping that Your Excellency will find our report useful, please be assured of our highest regards

always.

ALAGBONSI Abdullateef, Ph.D.

Coordinator

5